



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/994,195 | 11/26/2001 | Thomas Reisinger | GR 99 P 1912 | 8292 |
| 7590 03/12/2004 LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A. Post Office Box 2480 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A | |
| Hollywood, FL 33022-2480 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 263 5 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004 | 10 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/994,195 | REISINGER ET AL. | | | |
| Autiony Addon | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Brian A Zimmerman | 2635 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applice 1) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se | | sidered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,16-19</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | oroved or b)□ disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | $-A \cap$ | | | |
| 10. Other: | | Brian A Zimmerman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2635 | | | |



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's interpretation of Wood fails to realize the section of the reference pointed to by the examiner in the Final Office Action that explains the use of CDMA in the system. Namely col 16 line 65+.